

We the People

Judge Freda L. Wolfson
United States District Court
District of New Jersey
402 East State Street Room 2020
Trenton, NJ 08608

November 18, 2010

To be made part of the official record
Case No. 3:10-cv-04814 (D.N.J.)

Dear Judge Wolfson;

For our Republic to function properly, an honorable judiciary is indispensable to justice. It is the obligation of every judge to observe the highest standards of conduct to preserve the integrity of the Court.

It is indisputable that evidentiary evidence was ignored as was established judicial procedure in the matter before Her Honor, as was the actions of the Chief Judge and the Clerk's Office at the Federal District Court (Trenton) New Jersey.

"*We the People*" Plaintiffs have attempted to communicate with her Honor only to have our correspondence ignored. Clearly "*We the People*" Plaintiffs are not appearing before an impartial Court. Therefore again, we respectfully request her Honor disqualify herself. The Judicial Conduct rule concerning disqualification are unambiguous, any judge is obligated to disqualify him or herself in a proceeding in which his or her impartiality might reasonably be questioned. It has become obvious her Honor has a personal bias or prejudice by the improprieties that have thus far taken place.

That being said, while Her Honor may fear the criticism of an all powerful government may be understandable, since this present administration has a propensity to retaliate against anyone interfering with their Draconian policies, this Court's behavior is unacceptable!

The First Amendment grants the people the right to petition the government for redress of grievances. And that is exactly what we here in New Jersey are doing. And we are entitled to be treated with the highest respect and judicial decorum. The rules states a judge shall accord to every person who is legally interested in a matter the right to be heard according to law. The rule states a judge may not initiate nor consider "*ex parte*" or other communications concerning a pending or impending matter. Yet, this Court has been repeatedly distributing unsigned legal Show Cause Orders without following legal judicial procedure. Including refusing to see or hear the "*People*." The Court has repeatedly ruled on matters prior to "*We the People*" Plaintiffs having an opportunity to reply or even receive Defendants reply on two occasions.

What is even more disturbing, the Court has misrepresented facts and evidence in its decisions as well as ignoring the rules as set forth in the *FRCP* for both the Court and the defendants.

On October 30, 2010 the “*People*” requested you immediately recuse yourself, it appears the Court has to be reminded, see 5th Paragraph, Page 1:

“...the Court has gone so far as to twist and misrepresent facts stated in the body of its Order to justify its findings. Therefore, it has become necessary for “*We the people*” respectfully request; (1) her Honor immediately recuse herself from adjudicating this action, (2) “recall and vacate” her Order of October 29, 2010 and thereafter transfer said Order to Show Cause Order to a Judge that will adhere to judicial procedure; (3) sign said Show Cause Order and rule upon said TRO following a proper response by Defendants as mandated by *FRCP*; and, (4) allow plaintiffs to submit a proper reply.”

Once again, “*We the people*” Plaintiffs anxiously await your reply.

Respectfully submitted,

Plaintiffs: Nicholas E. Purpura,	Donald R. Laster, pro-se (s)
1802 Rue De La Port.	25 Heidl Ave
Wall, NJ 07719	West Long Branch, NJ 07764
732-449-0856	732-263-9235

cc: Chief Judge Garrett E. Brown, Jr.
Ethan P. Davis, et el

Attachment October 30th 2010 letter to Justice Freda L. Wolfson